UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MC ALLEN DIVISION

UNITE	D STATES	OF AMERICA,)	CASE NO:	7:16-CR-0876-8
		Plaintiff,)	C	RIMINAL
)		
	vs.)	McAl	len, Texas
)		
JOSE	ROMAN,)	Tuesday,	November 14, 2017
)	(9:11 a.m	. to 9:36 a.m.)
		Defendant.)		

SENTENCING

BEFORE THE HONORABLE RANDY CRANE, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

(SEALED BENCH CONFERENCES OMITTED)

Appearances: See Next Page

Court Recorder: Rick Rodriguez

Transcribed by: Exceptional Reporting Services, Inc.

P.O. Box 18668

Corpus Christi, TX 78480-8668

361 949-2988

THIS TRANSCRIPT HAS BEEN FURNISHED AT PUBLIC EXPENSE UNDER THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACT AND MAY BE USED ONLY AS AUTHORIZED BY COURT ORDER. UNAUTHORIZED REPRODUCTION WILL RESULT IN AN ASSESSMENT AGAINST COUNSEL FOR THE COST OF AN ORIGINAL AND ONE COPY AT THE OFFICIAL RATE. General Order 94-15, United States District Court, Southern District of Texas.

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript produced by transcription service.

		2
APPEARANCES FOR:		
Plaintiff:	PATRICIA C. PROFIT, ESQ. Assistant United States Attorney 1701 W. Business Hwy. 83, Suite 600 McAllen, TX 78501	
Defendant:	REYNALDO M. MERINO, ESQ. Attorney at Law 1012 Martin Avenue, Suite B McAllen, TX 78504	

```
3
 1
         McAllen, Texas; Tuesday, November 14, 2017; 9:11 a.m.
 2
                             (Call to Order)
 3
              THE COURT: Next is 16cr876-8, U.S.A. versus Jose
 4
    Roman.
 5
              MS. PROFIT: The Government is present and ready,
    your Honor. There is a contested issue of facts in this case.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: I mean, I read the two different
 8
    submissions.
 9
              MR. MERINO: Well, your Honor, Rey Merino with
10
    Mr. Roman, who's present (indiscernible)
11
              THE COURT: Maybe I should have met with you-all in
12
    chambers beforehand to go over this. I mean, the real crux
13
    here is who was the source of the cocaine and possibly some
14
    heroin.
15
              MR. MERINO: May we approach?
16
              THE COURT: Yes, you may.
17
         (Sealed bench conference omitted from 9:12 to 9:27 a.m.)
18
              THE COURT: All right. I'm going to take a very
19
    brief recess to grab some notes. Be right back.
20
              THE MARSHAL: All rise.
21
         (Recess was taken from 9:27:04 to 9:27:54 a.m.)
22
              THE COURT: All right. You may --
         (Sealed bench conference omitted from 9:27:58 to
23
24
    9:30 a.m.)
25
    //
```

```
4
 1
              THE COURT: All right. So I don't remember where we
 2
    left off. Mr. Merino, is there anything -- I mean, we've had a
 3
    lot of discussion up here. Anything more you want to say and
 4
    then I'll let your client speak?
 5
              MR. MERINO:
                           Judge, I know we started the sentencing
 6
    in a previous hearing. I just want to go through my objections
 7
    real quick, just for an abundance of caution. So the first
 8
    thing we talked about was the safety valve and I think the only
 9
    thing that was holding my client back from safety valve was
10
    whether or not he qualified under the (indiscernible) -- the
11
    (indiscernible)
12
              THE COURT: Right. The truth --
13
              MR. MERINO: Truth in debriefing.
14
              THE COURT:
                          Sure.
15
              MR. MERINO: So we submitted the -- this
16
    contradicting letter --
17
              THE COURT: Right.
18
              MR. MERINO: -- for the court to consider.
19
              THE COURT: Reviewed those.
20
              MR. MERINO: We'd ask the Court to consider that.
21
    What my client has said, Judge, is perhaps not self-serving.
22
    would submit to the Court he wouldn't have anything to gain by
23
    lying about this. And that's all I can say, Judge, and I'd
24
    submit to the Court he's been truthful with the Government and
25
    he generally agreed to everything in the PSI and under proffer
```

```
7
1
    citizenship.
 2
              THE DEFENDANT: -- take responsibility. Also want to
    say -- apologize to Ms. Profits (phonetic). I wish I could
 3
 4
    have helped them more.
 5
              THE COURT: Uh-huh. I mean, there still may be a
 6
    chance.
 7
              THE DEFENDANT: I don't find it correct to lie to the
 8
    Court.
 9
              THE COURT: All right. But, I mean, you still may
10
    have a chance to help yourself.
11
              And, again, you don't lose your citizen -- you lose
12
    your residency here. Once you're a citizen, you're always a
13
    citizen. But you will lose your residency here which means
    you're going to have to make a life for yourself in Mexico so
14
15
    you need to be prepared to do that when you get out of prison.
16
              I assume the same for your brother when he gets out.
17
    He'll be down there as well so maybe you-all will help each
18
    other out.
19
              Anything the Government wants to add?
20
              MS. PROFIT: No, your Honor.
              THE COURT: All right. The Court adopts the factual
21
22
    finding contained within the presentence report. I find it
23
    correctly scored, base offense level 30, the two-point
24
    enhancement for weapons but three points off for acceptance
25
    leaving the Defendant at a level 29.
                                          My ruling on the safety
```

1 | valve issue was that it is -- I concluded that the information

2 provided by the Government was more likely than not the correct

3 and actual version of events and that they sustained their

4 burden of proof by a preponderance of the evidence and that the

5 Defendant on that contradicting point, then, found that his

6 testimony was less credible than the Government's evidence.

7 And that's why I'm ruling that Defendant did not qualify for

8 | safety valve. You can retain that point for appellate

9 purposes.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So again, this leaves the Defendant level 29. He has a criminal history category only of I which would have had him in an 87, 108 range but without safety valve qualifying, he's in a range of 120 months.

The Court considers those factors under 18 U.S.C.

3553(a) and concludes that a sentence within these guidelines satisfied them. And therefore, pursuant to the <u>Sentencing</u>

Reform Act of 1984, it's the judgment of the Court the

Defendant, Mr. Jose Roman, is committed to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a term of 120 months.

Upon release from imprisonment, he's placed on supervision for five years which is also mandatory. And while on supervision, the Defendant's not to commit any other federal, state or local crime. He's to comply with the standard conditions that have been adopted by this Court, abide by any mandatory conditions required by law. In addition, he's

(This proceeding was adjourned at 9:36 a.m.)						
CERTIFICATION						
I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.						
Join Mullion February 27, 2018_						
TONI HUDSON, TRANSCRIBER						